

**PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF *Gongronema latifolium* (“Utazi”) LEAF AND ITS BIOACTIVITY ON MICROBIAL ISOLATES FROM AFRICAN SORGHUM BEER (*Burukutu*)**

**\*OMOGBAI, B. A., EIGHMENRIO, O. S., OBAZENU, E. I.,  
IDUORIYEKEMWEN, A. S. AND UBAKA, L. N.**

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City,  
Nigeria

\*Corresponding author: barry.omogbai@uniben.edu

---

**ABSTRACT**

Forty-five (45) samples of prepared burukutu from Kaduna were microbiologically examined for microbial isolates using standard methods. Leaf extract of *Gongronema latifolium* was obtained using ethanol, hot and cold water as solvents. Phytochemical analysis of the plant was carried out using standard chemical methods. Antimicrobial properties of the extracts were evaluated using agar well diffusion method. The mean bacterial count of burukutu samples ranged from  $1.2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^6$  to  $8.3 \pm 0.30 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kachia,  $1.6 \pm 0.32 \times 10^6$  to  $5.1 \pm 0.44 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml (Kajuru) and  $1.4 \pm 0.21 \times 10^6$  to  $7.2 \pm 0.40 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kudan. The mean fungal count ranged from  $1.47 \pm 0.31 \times 10^6$  to  $3.87 \pm 0.35 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kachia,  $1.27 \pm 0.12 \times 10^6$  to  $3.37 \pm 0.35 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml (Kajuru) and  $1.50 \pm 0.27 \times 10^6$  to  $4.00 \pm 0.30 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kudan. Seven bacterial and four fungal species were identified. The phytochemistry of ethanol extracts of *G. latifolium* leaves showed phenols had the highest concentration of  $15.74 \pm 0.03$  mg/100g and the least was anthraquinones ( $0.87 \pm 0.02$  mg/100g). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the ethanol extract showed that *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Lactobacillus fermentum* were most susceptible to the leaf extract of *G. latifolium* with MIC of 6.25 mg/ml. The leaf extract of *G. latifolium* have the potential to preserve and extend the shelf-life of burukutu haven inhibited the microorganisms associated with it.

**KEYWORDS:** Burukutu, *Gongronema latifolium*, Phytochemical, Proximate

---

**INTRODUCTION**

*Gongronema latifolium* is a non-woody herbaceous plant from the family of Asclepiadaceae. It is widespread in the tropical and subtropical regions, especially in Africa and South America, with a moderate representation in Northern and South-Eastern Asia (Morebise *et al.*, 2002). In South-Eastern and South-Western

Nigeria, *G. latifolium* is commonly called “Utazi” and “Arokeke” respectively (Agbo and Obi, 2006). *G. latifolium* is utilizable in many different ways to prepare delicacies in homes but primarily used as a spice and vegetable in traditional folk medicine. It can be consumed fresh, cooked or dried (Ugochukwu *et al.*, 2003). Reports by some authors show that it contains essential oils,

saponins and pregnanes (Schneider *et al.*, 1993). Morebise *et al.* (2002) showed that it has anti-inflammatory properties. In many situations it is part of herbal prescriptions or preparations administered by herbalists for treatment and or management of certain health challenges: pains, infertility, hypertension and ulcers (Schneider *et al.*, 1993).

Beer is an alcoholic beverage made from cereal grains, usually barley as well as corn, sorghum, millet, rice, wheat, and oats (Okafor, 2007). Beer is the world's oldest and most widely consumed alcoholic beverage and the third most popular drink overall after water and tea. Beer is made using a process called fermentation, in which yeast convert sugars in the grain to alcohol and carbon dioxide (Gutcho, 1976; Grossman, 1995; Bamforth, 2017). The tropical beers (African local beers) are known by different names in different parts of the world: *burukutu* and pito in Nigeria, maujek among the Nandi's in Kenya, mawe in Malawi, kaffir beer in South Africa, merisa in Sudan, bouza in Ethiopia and pombe in some parts of East Africa (Okafor, 2007; Ibrahim and Aondover, 2013).

Africa sorghum beer '*burukutu*' is a brownish-pink traditional alcoholic beverage consumed in the Northern Guinea savanna region of Nigeria, Republic of Benin and in Ghana (Okafor, 2007). The age long drink, serves as a source of alcohol for those who lack the financial means to patronize refined brew like beer and other foreign or imported drinks. It is produced mainly from the grains of guinea corn (*Sorghum vulgare* and *Sorghum bicolor*). The process of production of *burukutu* involves malting, mashing, fermentation and maturation (Ekundayo, 1969; Egemba and Etuk, 2007). The

production process of these indigenous drinks involves fermentation at its initial production stage which results in alcoholic drink. Its traditional processing method makes it susceptible to microbial contamination which results in the short shelf life of the product (Bamforth, 2017). This study was conducted to ascertain the antimicrobial potential of *G. latifolium* on microbial isolates from *burukutu* with a view to using it to extend its shelf life.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Sample Collection*

A total of forty-five (45) samples of *burukutu* used for this study were obtained from Kaduna metropolis. Fifteen (15) samples each of freshly prepared *burukutu* were obtained from the following locations; Kachia, Kajuru and Kudan. The samples were collected in 500 ml sterile plastic bottles and were transported to the laboratory in a cooler ice box containing ice packs for microbiological and physico-chemical analyses. Freshly harvested *G. latifolium* leaves were obtained from Uselu market, Benin City, Nigeria.

### *Isolation and enumeration of Microorganisms*

Bacteria in *burukutu* were enumerated and isolated using nutrient agar and fungi with potatoe dextrose agar. The microbial isolates were identified phenotypically following standard microbiological procedures as described by Cheesbrough (2002), Lyn *et al.* (2014), Barnett and Hunter (1998).

### *Preparation of Ethanol Extract of Utazi Leaf*

The "Utazi" leaves were collected by hand plucking from the plant and cleaned of debris by rinsing in running sterile distilled water. The leaves were then air-dried at ambient temperature ( $28 \pm 2$

°C) for 14 days to eliminate moisture (Atata *et al.*, 2003). The dried leaves were milled using a blender. Five grams (5g) of the milled leaves was soaked in 25 ml of each of the solvents (hot water, cold water and 99 % ethanol) separately. Each mixture was stirred occasionally and allowed to stay overnight. The samples was periodically shaken for at least 3 h a day to ensure complete extraction (Nenaah and Ahmed, 2011). The extract was filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 1 placed in a glass funnel and was concentrated by evaporation using water bath.

#### ***Antimicrobial Activity Bioassay***

##### ***Standardization of the Bacterial Cell Suspension***

Five colonies of each test organisms were inoculated into sterile test tube containing sterile nutrient broth and incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. The turbidity produced by these organisms were adjusted and used to match the McFarland turbidity (opacity) standard.

##### ***Agar Well Diffusion Method***

Sterile nutrient agar was poured into sterile petri dishes aseptically and allowed to solidify. The surface of the sterile nutrient agar plate was streaked with pure culture of the standardized bacterial cell suspension. A cork borer (8 mm in diameter) was sterilized by flaming and used to create a ditch at the centre of each plate. The holes so created were then filled with the plant extract. The plates were allowed to stand for one hour for pre-diffusion of the extract and incubated at 28±2°C for 24 h. At the end of the incubation period, the diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured in millimeter (Ahmed and Aqil, 2007). This procedure was also carried out on the fungal isolates

using potato dextrose agar as the medium.

##### ***Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of the Extract***

Test tubes were arranged on a test tube rack. The initial concentration of the plant extract (100 mg/ml) was diluted using double fold serial dilution by transferring 5 ml of the sterile plant extract (stock solution) into 5 ml of sterile nutrient broth to obtain 50 mg/ml concentration. The above process was repeated several times to obtain the different concentrations of the extract. Each concentration was inoculated with 0.1 ml of each standardized bacterial cell suspension and incubated at 28±2°C for 24 h. Growth on the broth was indicated by turbidity or cloudiness of the broth and the lowest concentration of the extract which inhibited the growth of the test organisms, was taken as the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). Negative controls were set up as follows: nutrient broth only, nutrient broth and sterile plant extract, and finally positive control containing nutrient broth and test organism. This procedure was done for fungal isolates using potato dextrose broth.

##### ***Phytochemical Analysis***

The qualitative and quantitative determination of phytochemical constituents of *G. latifolium* were carried out following standard techniques (Trease and Evans, 1996; AOAC, 2016).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The total microbial count of “*Burukutu*” samples sold in Kaduna metropolis is shown in Table 1. The mean bacterial count ranged from  $1.2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml to  $8.3 \pm 0.30 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kachia,  $1.6 \pm 0.32 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml to  $5.1 \pm$

$0.44 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kajuru and  $1.4 \pm 0.21 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml to  $7.2 \pm 0.40 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kudan. The mean fungal count ranged from  $1.47 \pm 0.31 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml to  $3.87 \pm 0.35 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kachia,  $1.27 \pm 0.12 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml to  $3.37 \pm 0.35 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kajuru and  $1.50 \pm 0.27 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml to  $4.00 \pm 0.30 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml in Kudan. The presence, number and kind of microorganisms isolated in *Burukutu* could be as a result of processing and post-processing (fermentation) either from the handling or during mixing. The utensils used for storage may also be responsible for the microbial population and diversity in *burukutu*. Although *burukutu* is an acid fermented product, there is possibility of post processing contamination resulting from poor handling either at the processing site or from the market places during vending (Lyn et al., 2014).

Microorganisms isolated and their percentage occurrence frequencies are shown in Table 2. Bacteria isolated from the samples were *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* (Table 2). Fungi isolated from the samples were *Aspergillus* spp., *Candida* spp., *Penicillium* spp. and *Saccharomyces* spp. (Table 2). The lowest percentage occurrence of bacterial isolates was 26.7 % (*Lactobacillus brevis*) while the highest percentage occurrence was 73.3 % (*Staphylococcus aureus*). The lowest percentage occurrence of fungal isolates was 13.3 % (*Penicillium* spp.) while the highest percentage occurrence was 66.7 % (*Aspergillus niger*). The high mean microbial counts recorded shows exposure

of the samples to heavy contamination by different genera of bacteria and fungi. The main sources of contamination may include humans, utensils, processing equipment and environment, handling and storage conditions (Eze et al., 2008). This observation is in agreement with the report of Kolawole et al. (2007), who documented information on the proximate and microbial analysis of *burukutu* and pito produced in Ilorin, Nigeria.

Table 3 shows the results of the susceptibility pattern of test organisms to the leaf extracts of *G. latifolium*. Ethanol extracts had the highest inhibitory effect while cold water extracts had no effect on all the isolates. *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida utilis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, were inhibited by both ethanol and hot water extracts. Results obtained showed that *G. latifolium* had no antimicrobial activity on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Penicillium* spp. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the ethanol extract showed that *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Lactobacillus fermentum*, were most susceptible at 6.25 mg/ml followed by *Escherichia coli*, *Lactobacillus brevis* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* inhibited at 12.5 mg/ml. *Bacillus subtilis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were inhibited at 25 mg/ml, *Aspergillus niger* and *Candida utilis* were inhibited at 50 mg/ml, but *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Penicillium* spp. were not susceptible at all concentrations used (Table 4).

Table 1: Total microbial count of “burukutu” samples sold in Kaduna

Sample Source (LGA)	Sample point	Mean bacterial count $\pm$ S.D ( $\times 10^6$ )	Mean fungi count $\pm$ S.D ( $\times 10^6$ )
Kachia	Dk	2.37 $\pm$ 0.15	1.47 $\pm$ 0.31
	Aw	1.2 $\pm$ 0.10	2.10 $\pm$ 0.46
	Bh	4.7 $\pm$ 0.20	1.70 $\pm$ 0.50
	Ak	7.5 $\pm$ 0.31	3.87 $\pm$ 0.35
	Sb	8.3 $\pm$ 0.30	2.20 $\pm$ 0.27
Kajuru	Rm	1.6 $\pm$ 0.32	1.27 $\pm$ 0.12
	Dt	3.5 $\pm$ 0.31	2.27 $\pm$ 0.45
	Fg	2.2 $\pm$ 0.25	1.47 $\pm$ 0.42
	Kt	3.7 $\pm$ 0.25	3.37 $\pm$ 0.35
	Yh	5.1 $\pm$ 0.44	2.77 $\pm$ 0.40
Kudan	Kd	1.4 $\pm$ 0.21	2.57 $\pm$ 0.35
	Lb	2.6 $\pm$ 0.30	1.50 $\pm$ 0.27
	Ma	3.7 $\pm$ 0.31	3.53 $\pm$ 0.32
	Dm	4.6 $\pm$ 0.40	2.67 $\pm$ 0.25
	Kn	7.2 $\pm$ 0.40	4.00 $\pm$ 0.30

**Key:** S.D = Standard deviation, LGA: Local Government Area

Dk = Doka, Aw = Awon, Bs = Bishini, Ak = Ankwa, Sb = Sabon, Rm = Rimau, Dt = Dokta, Fg = Fuga, Kt = Kutura, Yh = Yahaya, Kd = Kadama, Lb = Labalo, Ma = Ma-aji, Dm = Damaski, Kn = Kanawa

Table 2: Percentage occurrence of microbial isolates

Microbial isolates	No. of samples examined	Kachia Market	Kajuru Market	Kudan Market	Frequency (%)
<b>Occurrence</b>					
<b>Bacteria</b>					
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	5	4	3	4	11 (73.3)
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	5	3	0	2	5 (33.3)
<i>E. coli</i>	5	2	0	3	5 (33.3)
<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	5	0	0	4	4 (26.7)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	5	3	4	0	7 (46.7)
<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	5	3	3	3	9 (60)
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	5	4	0	3	7 (46.7)
<b>Fungi</b>					
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	5	3	3	4	10 (66.7)
<i>Candida utilis</i>	5	4	0	3	7 (46.7)
<i>Penicillium spp</i>	5	0	2	0	2 (13.3)
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	5	0	3	2	5 (33.3)

Table 3: Susceptibility pattern of leaf extracts of *Gongronema latifolium* on test isolates at 100 mg/ml

S/N	Isolates	Diameter of Zone of Inhibition (mm)		
		Ethanol Extract	Hot Water Extract	Cold Water Extract
1	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	14	5	-
2	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	18	6	-
3	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	28	12	-
4	<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	26	10	-
5	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	22	9	-
6	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	-	-	-
7	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	20	7	-
8	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	10	-	-
9	<i>Candida utilis</i>	12	-	-
10	<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	-	-	-
11	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	14	5	-

Key - = No zone of inhibition,

Table 4: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ethanolic extract of *G. latifolium* on microbial isolates

Test Organisms	Concentration (mg/ml)					MIC (mg/ml)
	3.125	6.25	12.5	25	50	
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	+	+	+	-	-	25
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	+	+	-	-	-	12.5
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	+	-	-	-	-	6.25
<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	+	-	-	-	-	6.25
<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	+	+	-	-	-	12.5
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	+	+	+	+	+	> 50
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	+	+	-	-	-	12.5
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	+	+	+	+	-	50
<i>Candida utilis</i>	+	+	+	+	-	50
<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	+	+	+	+	+	> 50
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	+	+	+	-	-	25

Key: + = Growth, - = No growth, MIC = Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

Table 5: Quantitative Phytochemical analysis of ethanolic leaf extracts of *Gongronema latifolium* (Utazi leaf)

Parameter	Concentration (mg/100g)
Alkaloids	1.43 ± 0.03
Phenols	15.74 ± 0.03
Flavonoids	5.97 ± 0.02
Tannins	11.32 ± 0.02
Saponins	3.06 ± 0.03
Anthraquinones	0.87 ± 0.02
Cyanogenic glycosides	2.65 ± 0.01

In this study antimicrobial effect of *Gongronema latifolium* leaf extract was evaluated on strains of bacteria and fungi isolated from *burukutu*. The results of the antimicrobial properties of *G. latifolium* (Utazi leaf) revealed inhibitory activities of the ethanol extract against *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida utilis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. At 50 mg/ml, ethanol and hot water extract of *G. latifolium* were observed to possess varying degree of antimicrobial activities against isolated microorganisms. The zone of inhibition with ethanol extract ranged from 14 mm against *L. fermentum* to 28 mm against *Staphylococcus aureus*. The values ranged from 10-14 mm against fungal isolates. No antimicrobial activity was observed against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Penicillium* spp with the ethanol extract. In the aqueous extract, inhibition zone ranged from 5 - 12 mm against bacterial isolates and 5 - 7 mm against fungal isolates. No antimicrobial activity was observed against *P. aeruginosa* and *Penicillium* spp. It was observed that ethanol extract of *G. latifolium* was more active against microbial isolates than the hot water extract. Cold water extract had no antimicrobial activity against microbial isolates. This may be due to the fact that the extracting solvent (cold water) is less effective in extracting the phytochemicals present in the leaves of *G. latifolium* thus no activity was exhibited (Atata *et al.*, 2003; Ahmed and Aqil, 2007).

A higher zone of inhibition was recorded for *Staphylococcus aureus* indicating the inhibitory effect on Gram-positive bacteria. This result is in

agreement with the observation of Eleyinmi (2007) who reported inhibitory effect of methanol extract on *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Penicillium* spp were not inhibited by all the extracts of *G. latifolium* (Utazi leaf). The resistance exhibited by *P. aeruginosa* is in agreement with the report of Jarwertz *et al.* (1998) who showed that *P. aeruginosa* is highly resistant to many antimicrobial agents. Akortha *et al.* (2011) also showed the resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to antibiotics. Barry (1976) observed that the susceptibility of some test organisms varies with the concentration of the antimicrobial substance. Of all the extracts ethanol showed greater inhibitory activity. Cold water did not show any inhibitory property. A minimum inhibitory concentration of 6.25 mg/ml observed for *S. aureus* and *L. fermentum*; 12.5 mg/ml for *E. coli*, *L. brevis* and *L. plantarum* is a plus for the potential application of this plant extract for preserving and extending the shelf-life of sorghum beers.

The result of the phytochemistry of ethanol extracts of *G. latifolium* leaves showed it contains alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids, anthraquinones, tannins and saponins. Phenols had the highest concentration of  $15.74 \pm 0.03$  mg/100g and the least which was anthraquinones ( $0.87 \pm 0.02$  mg/100g) as shown in Table 5. The phytochemical constituents of extracts of *G. latifolium* (Utazi leaf) revealed the presence of alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids, cyanides, tannins and saponins. These constituents are common in medicinal plants however, their concentration vary (Sofowora, 1993). In this study, results of the quantitative phytochemical analysis shows that phenols had the highest composition of

15.74 ± 0.03 mg/100g. Thus the antimicrobial activity of this plant leaf may be attributed to its phytochemical content (Egbung *et al.*, 2011). Several plants possess phytochemicals like tannins, phenols and flavonoids and saponins which have been shown to exhibit antimicrobial activities against microorganisms (Funatogawa *et al.*, 2004). The effective antimicrobial activity observed in this study is in concord with earlier reports (Banso and Adeyemo, 2007). This study has shown that *G. latifolium* extract has antimicrobial activity against the isolated organisms and hence may be used as a hop substitute in brewing industries to extend shelf life of the product by inhibiting several varieties of bacteria that can cause spoilage of the locally brewed alcoholic beverage.

## REFERENCES

- Agbo, C. U. and Obi, I. U. (2006). Macropropagation technique for different phytological ages of *Gongronema latifolium* Benth cuttings. *Afr. J. Biotech.* 13: 1254-1258.
- Ahmed, I. and Aqil, F. (2007). *In vitro* efficacy of bioactive extract of 15 medical plants against ESSL-producing multi drug-resistant enteric bacteria. *Microbiol. Res.* 162:164-275.
- Akortha, E. E., Aluyi, H. S. and Enerijiofi, K. E. (2011). Transfer of amoxicillin resistance gene among bacteria isolates from sputum of pneumonia patients attending the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria. *J. Med. Sci.* 2(7): 1003-1009.
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemist) (2016). Official Methods of Analysis, 20th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.
- Atata, R. F., Sani, A. and Ajewole, S. M. (2003). Effect of stem bark extracts of *Enantea cloranta* on some clinical isolates. *Nig. Soc. Expl. Biol.*, 15(2): 84-92.
- Bamforth, C. W. (2017). Progress in brewing science and beer production. *Ann. Rev. Chem. Biomol Eng.* 8:161-176.
- Banso, A. and Adeyemo, S. O. (2007). Evaluation of antibacterial properties of tannins isolated from *Dichrostachys cinera*. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 6(15): 1785-1787.
- Barnett, H. L. and Hunter, B. B. (1998). Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. 3rd ed. Burgess publishing company, Minneapolis, USA.
- Barry, L. A. (1976). The Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Principles and Practices. Henry Kimpton Publishers, London. 340pp.
- Cheesbrough, M. (2000). District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries. Part 2 Cambridge University Press, London. 434pp.
- Egbung, G., Atangwho, I. J., Iwara, I. A. and Eyong, U. E. (2011). Micronutrient and phytochemical composition of root, bark and twig extracts of *Gongronema latifolium*. *J. Medicine Med. Sci.* 2(11): 1185-1188.
- Egemba, K. C. and Etuk, V. E. (2007). A kinetic study of burukutu fermentation. *J. Eng. Appl. Sci.* 2: 1193-1198.
- Ekundayo, J. A. (1969). The production of pito, a Nigerian fermented beverage. *J. Food Technol.* 4: 217-225.



- Eleyinmi, A. F. (2007). Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of *Gongronema latifolium*. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci., B* 8(5): 352-358.
- Eze, V. C., Okoye, J. I., Agwung, F. D. and Nnabueke, C. (2008). Chemical and microbiological evaluation of soybeans flours bought from local markets in Onitsha, Anambra State, Nigeria. *Continental J. Appl. Sci.*, 3: 39-45.
- Funatogawa, K., Hayashi, S., Shimomura, H., Yoshida, T., Ito, H. and Iria, Y. (2004). Antibacterial activity of hydrolysable tannins derived from medicinal plants against *Helicobacter pylori*. *Microbiol. Immunol.*, 48(4):251-261.
- Grossman, H. (1995). Beer. *Encyclo Amer.*, 12: 132-133.
- Gutcho, M. (1976). Alcoholic Beverages Processes. Noyes Press, London, United Kingdom. 456pp.
- Ibrahim, J. S. and Aondover, I. I. (2013). Development of equations for estimating energy requirements in processing local alcoholic beverage (Burukutu) in Nigeria. *Intl. J. Eng. Res. Appl.*, 3(4): 648-654.
- Jawertz, E., Melnick, J.C. and Adelberg, E.A. (1998). Medical Microbiology 21st ed. Appleton and Lange Publications, Connecticut, USA661P.
- Kolawole, O. M., Kayode, R. M. O. and Akindayo, B. (2007). Proximate and microbiological analysis of *burukutu* and pito produce in Illorin, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Biotech.* 6(5): 587-590.
- Lynn, M., Alibe, W., Brisca, J. and De, N. (2014). Isolation of some pathogens in burukutu, a local drink, sold in Sengere Village, Girie Local Government, Adamawa State. *Greener J. Microbiol. Antimicrob.*, 2(1): 1-6.
- Morebise, O., Fafunso, M. A., Makinde, J. M., Olajide, O. A. and Awe, E. O., (2002). Anti-inflammatory property of the leaves of *Gongronema latifolium*. *Phytotherapeutic Res.*, 16: 75-77.
- Nenaah, G. E. and Ahmed, M. E. (2011). Antimicrobial activity of extracts and latex of *s* (Ait.) and synergistic effect with reference antimicrobials. *Res. J. Med. Plant*, 5(6): 706-716.
- Okafor, N. (2007). Modern Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology. Science publishers. Enfield (NH), USA 530P
- Schneider, C., Rotscheidt, K. and Breitmaier, E. (1993). Four new pregnane glycosides from *Gongronema latifolium* (Ascepiadaceae). *Liebigs Annalen Der Chemie*, 10: 1057-1062.
- Sofowara, A. (1993). Medicinal plants and Traditional Medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Limited, Ibadan, Nigeria. 289pp.
- Trease, G. E and Evans W. C. (1996). *Pharmacognosy*, Bailere Tindall ltd, London.
- Ugochukwu, N. H., Babady, N. E., Cobourne, M. and Gasset, S. R. (2003). The effect of *Gongronema latifolium* leaf extract on serum lipid profile and oxidative stress of hepatocytes of diabetic rats. *J. Biosci.*, 28: 1-5.